

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,434	08/05/2003	David Cope	EMI.1002	8296
7	590 04/13/2006		EXAMINER	
HAYES SOLOWAY P.C.			RUTLAND WALLIS, MICHAEL	
175 Canal Stree Manchester, N	et, 4th IH 03101-2335		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,		•	2835	
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/634,434	COPE ET AL.	(AM)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Rutland-Wallis	2835	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess
• •	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(A) AD THURTH	5.440
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	March 2006.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		·	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	١.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 January 2006 is/are		to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by₁the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicati	on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National Sta	age
application from the International Burea		•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)	🗖		
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date			52)
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· —· —		

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Drawings

In view of applicant's amendment to the drawings the previous objection to the drawings is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Applicant's arguments addressing claims 1, 12-13 and 18 rejected under 102(b) as anticipated by Liu. Applicant's amendment to at least independent claims 1 and 13 removing the limitation "harmonic" as applicant holds Liu teaches a system of compensating harmonic frequencies generated in a transmission line and not to evaluating and compensating DC current. The presence of harmonics in a transmission line leading to the generation and problem of DC currents, a 9 page IEEE publication Harmonics In Power Systems is cited and attached to this action as providing a discussion on this phenomenon see particularly pages 5 and 7 sub topic headings "Harmonic Mitigation" and "Problems Generated by Harmonics". Additionally Hingorami (U.S. Pat. No. 4,292,545) teaches a system and method intended to compensate harmonic oscillations and DC offset. While neither Harmonics In Power Systems or Hingorami is cited with the intention of anticipating or to render obvious applicant's invention, each is cited merely to support the office's position a device or system compensating harmonics in a transmission line is also tied to compensating and reducing the presence of DC current in transmission lines.

Art Unit: 2835

Nevertheless applicant's remaining amendments to at least claims 1 and 13 addressing lacking of the teaching of evaluation of an amount of DC current in a transmission line are no longer anticipated by Liu, therefore a new grounds of rejection is made below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-8 and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kern (U.S. Pat. No. 6,282,104) in view of Liu (U.S. Pat. No. 5,521,487)

With respect to claims 1, 13 and 19-20 Kern teaches a DC mitigation circuit (column 3 lines 13-36), comprising: a control circuit (item 40) for evaluating (Kern uses a feedback control loop item 30 and items 32 and 34 to evaluate the DC and harmonics in a transmission line) an amount of DC current resulting from the DC in a transmission line (such as leads 42 and 50). While Kern is silent on the use of switches for providing current into the windings of a transformer, Kern does teach the injection of a current signal by DC offset adjust device item 24 on lead 62 to adjust the DC and harmonics entering the windings of a transformer. Liu provides a teaching of using switches (Fig. 1

Art Unit: 2835

item 10 and 11 solid state switches comprising a IGBT or thyristor switch) for providing a current into a winding of a transformer, in order to mitigate or dampen harmonic frequencies which in turn produce the DC current in the transmission line, wherein the windings of the transformer of Liu generates a magnetic flux that offsets a flux created by said DC or harmonic current resulting from the DC in said transmission line (column 3 line 57 – column 4 line 24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kern to use an connection to a transformer by switches of Liu to mitigate the DC and harmonics in a transmission line to simplify the device and to provide a clean and level power signal provided to loads.

With respect to claims 2,12 and 17 Kern as modified by Liu teaches the control circuit evaluates an amount of harmonic and non-harmonic AC current resulting from the DC in the transmission line see harmonic analyzer item 38 and current transformers items 32 and 34 of Kern for example which determines whether a harmonic AC current exists or if a DC current is present and Kern as modified by Liu teach the mitigation of such harmonic and DC current would be through offset flux generated in the windings of the transformer.

With respect to claim 3 Liu teaches DC mitigation circuit is connected to an output filter (Fig. 1 item 9) for filtering an output of said switches.

With respect to claim 4 Liu teaches the control circuit is connected to a primary winding of said transformer (Fig. 1 column 2 lines 1-20).

With respect to claim 5 Liu teaches control circuit is connected to a secondary winding of said transformer (Fig. 1 column 2 lines 1-20).

Art Unit: 2835

With respect to claim 7 Liu teaches the switches are connected to a tertiary winding (Fig. 1 item 5) of said transformer.

With respect to claim 8 Liu teaches the DC mitigation circuit of claim 1, further comprising a capacitor (Fig. 1 item U1) for powering said switches.

With respect to claim 14 Liu teaches the current supplied to said transformer winding is provided by an internal power supply (Fig.1 item U2).

With respect to claim 15 Liu teaches the switches are used to control said current that is outputted from said power supply to said transformer winding (Fig. 1 see column 3 lines 20-35).

With respect to claim 16 Liu teaches the step of filtering said current output from said switches (Fig. 1 item 9).

With respect to claim 18 Liu teaches the switches may be integrated IGBT type switches.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kern (U.S. Pat. No. 6,282,104) in view of Liu (U.S. Pat. No. 5,521,487) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Oliver (U.S. Pat. No. 5,179,489)

With respect to claim 6 Kern as modified by Liu teaches the control circuit is connected to said transformer but does not teach the connection to the core of the transformer. Oliver teaches connecting a filter to the core of a transformer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to move Liu's connection point to the core to increase the efficiency of the of the transformer.

Art Unit: 2835

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Kern (U.S. Pat. No. 6,282,104) in view of Liu (U.S. Pat. No. 5,521,487) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of *A Practical Approach to Harmonic Current*Compensation by a Single-Phase Active Filter.

With respect to claim 9 Liu teaches the use of the capacitors associated with the switches but does not teach the use of the diodes used in conjunction with the switches. A Practical Approach to Harmonic Current Compensation by a Single-Phase Active Filter in Fig. 1 teaches the use of switches further comprise diodes connected across said switches so as to charge said capacitor during a frequency cycle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Liu to use a diodes connected across the switches to discharge the capacitor an supply the transformer and filter with power.

With respect to claim 10 Liu teaches the device of claim 1 and the use of a source connected across a the switches in Fig. 1 but does not teach the use of the teaches switches being MOSFETs and diodes carry current in an opposite direction from said MOSFET switches. A Practical Approach to Harmonic Current Compensation by a Single-Phase Active Filter in Fig. 1 teaches switches being MOSFETs and diodes connected across a source and drain of said MOSFET switches so as to carry current in an opposite direction from said MOSFET switches (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Liu to use a diodes connected across the switches connected to Liu source in control the source.

Art Unit: 2835

With respect to claim 11 Liu teaches said capacitor discharges during said frequency cycle so as to power said MOSFET switches. *A Practical Approach to Harmonic Current Compensation by a Single-Phase Active Filter* in Fig. 1 teaches diodes which are configured to discharge the capacitor during a frequency cycle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Liu to control the discharge of the capacitors.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chou et al. (U.S. 6,717,465) teaches a state of the art harmonic suppression system for transmission lines.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Rutland-Wallis whose telephone number is 571-272-5921. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30AM-6:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2835

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MRW

LYNN FEILD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8